

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,567	CITTERIO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1723	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(3) _____.

 (2) Benoit Castel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2004
Time: _____

Type of Interview:


- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
None
Claims discussed:
The amended claims
Prior art documents discussed:
None
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner required the restriction requirement listed in the examiner's amendment. Upon the election of claims 1-4 and 7-15, the examiner indicated that the case would be allowed with the changes listed in the examiner's amendment. Benoit Castel then authorized the changes to be made by examiner's amendment. .